# FATTY ACID PROFILING AND DETERMINATION OF NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF LIPIDS IN SELECTED COMMONLY CONSUMED FISHES IN DHAKA CITY

Shakil Miah<sup>1</sup>, Kazi Turjaun Akhter<sup>1</sup>, Sumya Akter<sup>1</sup>, Zafar As Sadiq<sup>1</sup>, Israt Jahan<sup>2</sup>, Nizamul Hoque Bhuiyan<sup>1</sup>, Alamgir Kabir<sup>3</sup>, Sanni Jaiswal<sup>4</sup>, Susmita Saha<sup>5</sup> and Eyad Ahmed<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Technology and Nutrition Science, NSTU, Noakhali, Bangladesh <sup>3</sup>Institute of Food Science and Technology, BCSIR, Dhaka, Bangladesh <sup>4</sup>BIRDEM Nursing College, Dhaka, Bangladesh <sup>5</sup>Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh

## ABSTRACT

Background: Fish is one of the most widely consumed foods worldwide and It has been the third most-consumed food item in Bangladesh. Objective: This study thoroughly analyzed the fatty acid content and nutritional quality of lipids extracted from five widely consumed fish species in Dhaka city, since fish fatty acid profile is an essential indicator of fish lipid quality. Methodology: Five commonly consumed fishes, which were analyzed in the current study, were Labeo bata, Wallago attu, Chanda nama, Labeo calbasu, and Channa striata. The crude lipid content was assessed using Folch method and fatty acid profiles were assessed by Gas chromatography method. The fatty acid profile data were then used to calculate various nutritional indices to assess the overall quality of the fish lipids. Results: Four of the fishes named L. bata, W. attu, C. nama, and C. striata, were classified as lean or low-fat fish based on their extracted fat percentages falling below 4%. In contrast, L. calbasu stood out as a medium-fat fish with a fat content of 4.01 ± 0.26%. A total of 32 distinct fatty acids were obtained in the study. The analysis of the fatty acid profiles revealed a substantial amount of saturated fatty acids, making up around 40% of the total fatty acids. Palmitic acid was identified as the most predominant saturated fatty acid. Monounsaturated fatty acids constituted 25-40% of the total fatty acids, with oleic acid recognized as the predominant monounsaturated fatty acid. The fish samples were found to have substantial amounts of nutritionally advantageous polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), with omega-3 fatty acids comprising up to 18%. The ratio of ω-3 to ω-6 ranged from 0.4 to 2.0 across the different fish species. Higher values for desirable fatty acids are preferable, and the fish had a 52-70% desirable fatty acids range. Conversely, lower values for hypercholesterolemic fatty acids are preferred, and the fish had a range of 24.6-30.9%. The Atherogenecity index (AI) and Thrombogenecity index (TI) of the samples ranged from 0.44-0.88 and 0.43-0.61, respectively. The comprehensive analysis of fatty acids and assessment of lipid quality provide valuable information regarding the nutritional importance of consuming these locally available fish species as part of a healthy diet in Bangladesh. Conclusion: In summary, the assessment of the indices indicates that the lipids found in L. calbasu have a comparatively more advantageous nutritional composition when compared to other species that were studied. Nevertheless, all fish species offer a harmonious combination of beneficial fatty acids and specific less favorable components regarding their overall fatty acid composition.

**KEYWORDS:** Fatty acid, nutritional quality, lipid, fishes, Bangladesh.

RECEIVED: 09 August 2024, ACCEPTED: 11 November 2024

**TYPE: Original Research** 

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Eyad Ahmed, Institute of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh Email: eyadinfs@gmail.com

#### Introduction

Bangladesh is considered one of the world's most fisheriesfriendly areas, boasting the largest flooded wetland globally and the third-highest aquatic biodiversity in Asia, after China and India (Shamsuzzaman *et al.*, 2017). Over 12% of the nation's population lives directly or indirectly in the fishing industry (DOF 2022). This industry has expanded at an average yearly pace of around 6.19% during the last decade (Afrooz *et al.*, 2024). The world's fish consumption reached 20.2 kg per person per year in 2022 (FAO, 2022). In Bangladesh and India, fish is a popular source of moderate protein. It is high in protein, unsaturated essential fatty acids, minerals, and vitamins (Muduli *et al.*, 2022). Fish is very nutritious, rich in essential minerals and vitamins that promote human health (Khan *et al.*, 2014). The lipid levels and fatty acid compositions of fish species might vary, even among individuals of the same species. These variances arise due to differences in geographical areas, food amounts, eating behaviors, and other environmental variables (Alexis *et al.*, 2002; Jacobsen *et al.*, 2012; Khan *et al.*, 2014).

Fish lipids have a highly varied quantitative fatty acid composition influenced by the species' characteristics and behaviors, along with biotic and abiotic factors (Harlıoğlu,



DOI: doi.org/10.3329/brc.v11i1.78882

Bioresearch

2012). The advantages of eating fish are associated with the fatty acid content of the fish, particularly the amount of longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC-PUFAs). LC-PUFAs contain healthy fatty acids like arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, docosahexaenoic acid, and other significant n-3 fatty acids like docosapentaenoic acid. Omega-3 fatty acids may aid in the prevention of coronary heart disease. Furthermore, it is a component of cellular membranes. It performs several functions in various biological processes, including the transport of amino acids and neurotransmitters, as well as the modulation of ion channel activity and retinal pigment responses (Katakura et al., 2015). Furthermore, n-3 PUFAs have the capacity to decrease the number of inflammatory cells and contribute to the prevention of atherosclerosis, a condition characterized by the narrowing and hardening of the arteries (Harris, 1989). Research has shown that fish oil offers defense against several types of cancers, such as those that impact the colon, liver, breast, prostate, and lung (Jatoi, 2005).

The fish species examined in this research are widely accessible in national markets and are crucial to the export of agricultural goods. Bengali culture promotes fish consumption for its nutritional advantages, although individuals often neglect the nutritional importance and diversity among various fish species. Moreover, there is a lack of data about the fatty acid profiles and nutritional quality of these fish species. Numerous research have investigated the fatty acid profiles of freshwater fish obtained from aquaculture ponds and freshwater lakes (Khan *et al.*, 2014; Islam *et al.*, 2022). The only complete Food Composition Table (FCT) in the nation, produced by the Institute of Nutrition and Food Science (INFS), includes fatty acid profile for 42 food samples, of which only six are fish samples (Rahim *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, many studies have been conducted to examine the fatty acid composition of Bangladeshi fish (Thilsted *et al.*, 2015; Shoeb *et al.*, 2018; Islam *et al.*, 2022). This research aimed to assess the fatty acid composition of five frequently eaten fish species available in the local market of Dhaka City.

# Methodology

#### Sample Collection Area

Samples for the research were obtained from two fish markets in Dhaka. These two markets are the biggest wholesale and retail markets in Dhaka city. One was Rajdhani Matshya Vander Fish Market, Jatrabari, and another one was Kawran Bazar Fish Market, Karwan Bazar.

#### Sample Collection

Samples were collected at dawn to guarantee their freshness and uniformity. Equivalent amounts of samples were acquired from two distinct markets to provide a fair and equitable comparison. The samples were transported in plastic bags to ensure that they remained uncontaminated and their integrity was maintained during transportation. Upon reaching the laboratory, the samples were duly recorded and marked with suitable labels to ensure their traceability and to avoid any confusion or mixing up.

#### Sample Identification and Characterization

The samples were transferred to Dhaka University's Institute of Nutrition and Food Science (INFS) food analysis laboratory for additional processing. They were first identified in the laboratory. The five fish samples were as follows:

| Taxonomy | Bata            | Boal                | Chanda          | Shol            | Kal Baus        |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Kingdom  | Animalia        | Animalia            | Animalia        | Animalia        | Animalia        |
| Phylum   | Chordata        | Chordata            | Chordata        | Chordata        | Chordata        |
| Class    | Actinopterygii  | Actinopterygii      | Actinopterygii  | Actinopterygii  | Actinopterygii  |
| Order    | Cypriniformes   | Siluriformes        | Perciformes     | Anabantiformes  | Cypriniformes   |
| Family   | Lebeoninae      | Siluridae           | Chandidae       | Channidae       | Cyprinidae      |
| Genus    | Labeo           | Wallago             | Chanda          | Channa          | Labeo           |
| Species  | Labeo bata      | Wallago attu        | Chanda nama     | Channa striata  | Labeo calbasu   |
|          | (Hamilton 1822) | (Bloch, Hennig      | (Hamilton 1822) | (Hamilton 1822) | (Hamilton 1822) |
|          |                 | <i>et al.</i> 1801) |                 |                 |                 |

## Sample Preparation

At first, the samples were measured for their length, width and weight. After the measurement, the samples were descaled, the intestines were cleaned, and the fins and head were removed. The bodies were then cleaned with tap water thrice, thrice with distilled water, and deionized water once. After the washing, the samples were air-dried. Then, the bone of the sample was taken out, and the flesh of the sample with skin was weighed. It is the edible portion of the sample. The flesh with skin was then chopped, turned into tiny pieces, and mixed well. Samples were then taken to the fish analysis laboratory of Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST), Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (BCSIR).

## Extraction of Fat

The fish samples' overall lipid content was assessed following the method of Folch *et al.* (1957) outlined with minor adjustments. The fish samples were homogenized together, and about 10g of the mixture was taken for lipid extraction. The homogenized mixture was immersed in chloroform-methanol solvent (2:1) overnight. After that, it was filtered through Whatman no.1 filter paper. The solvent was then evaporated at 45  $^{\rm o}{\rm C}$  in a rotary evaporator.

## **Preparation of FAME**

With minor adjustments, fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) was created following the AOAC-2005 protocol. In this protocol, 25 mg ( $\pm 1$  mg) of sample was added in a methyl ester containing tube. Various reagents were used in this process such as methanolic NaOH, BF3, isooctane, NaCl solution, etc. After the top layer of isooctane was collected in a vial and filtered via an anhydrous sodium sulfate column, 1 µL was utilized for gas chromatography's FAME analysis.

## Fatty Acid Profile by Gas Chromatography

With the use of a fused silica capillary column (TR-FAME, 30 m 0.25 mm 0.25 m film thickness, Thermo Scientific, PA, USA) and a flame ionization detector. The fatty acid content was investigated using a gas chromatograph (Trace 1300, Thermo Scientific, PA, USA). At a constant flow rate of 1 milliliter per minute, nitrogen has been employed as the carrier gas in a split injection (20:1) technique. The data were presented as relative percentages using the Chromeleon version-7.00 automated GC program after identifying the fatty acids using the appropriate fatty acid methyl ester standards (Supelco 37 Component FAME mix, USA).

# Calculation of Nutritional Lipid Quality Indices

The nutrition quality of fat was determined by  $\omega$ -6/ $\omega$ -3 ratio, Desirable Fatty Acid Index (DFA), Index of Hyper-

Cholesterolemic Fatty Acid (OFA), Atherogenecity Index (AI), Index of Thrombogenecity (TI) and, Hypocholesterolemic-Hypercholesterolemic ratio (H/H). The formula to calculate these indices are given below:

1.  $\omega\text{-}6/\omega\text{-}3$  ratio = Total  $\omega\text{-}6$  fatty acids content / Total  $\omega\text{-}3$  fatty acid content

2. DFA = Total unsaturated fatty acid content + C18:0 content 3. OFA = Sum of C12:0, C14:0, C16:0 content

4. AI =  $((C12:0 + (4*C14:0) + C16) / (\omega - 3 PUFA + \omega - 6 PUFA + MUFA)$ 

5. TI =  $(C14:0 + C16:0 + C18:0) / ((0.5*MUFA) + (3* \omega-3) + (0.5*\omega6) + (\omega-3/\omega6))$ 

6. H/H = (C18:1 + C18:2 + C18:3) / (C12:0 + C14:0 + C16:0) Here, C12:0 = Lauric Acid; C14:0 = Myristic Acid; C16:0 = Palmitic Acid; C18:0 = Stearic Acid; C18:1 = Oleic Acid; C18:2 = Linoleic Acid; C18:3 = Linolenic Acid.

# **Results and Discussion**

Fish samples were collected from two markets, Kawran Bazar Fish Market, Karwan Bazar (Market 1) and Rajdhani Matshya Vander Fish Market, Jatrabari (Market 2). The average length, width and weigh were similar between these two. Only the average weight of Bata fish is different. The average weight of Bata fish from market 1 was 253.35 grams where in market 2 the average weight was 167.40 grams. About ten samples of each fish species from two markets (five from each market) were measured to estimate the average weight, (g) length (cm) and width (cm) of the fishes.

| Local name (Scientific               | Average<br>Length (cm) |             | Average<br>Width (cm) |             | Average<br>Weight (g) |             |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| name)                                | Market 1               | Market<br>2 | Market<br>1           | Market<br>2 | Market<br>1           | Market<br>2 |
| Bata<br>(Labeo bata)                 | 18.43                  | 20.30       | 4.64                  | 4.90        | 253.35                | 167.40      |
| Boal<br>(Wallago attu)               | 60                     | 60          | 8                     | 7           | 1042                  | 1055        |
| Chanda<br>(Chanda nama)              | 7                      | 8           | 3                     | 3           | 8                     | 7.5         |
| Kal baus<br>( <i>Labeo calbasu</i> ) | 48                     | 48          | 11                    | 10          | 1655                  | 1651        |
| Shol<br>(Channa striata)             | 54                     | 52          | 6                     | 6           | 1140                  | 1104        |

Table 1. Average length, width and weight of the fish samples.

**Fat Content:** An examination of fat content in several fish species reveals significant variance, with fat percentages ranging from 0.93% to 4.1%. Out of all the species that were studied, Kal baus (*Labeo calbasu*) had the greatest fat content, with an average of around 4%. This makes it the fish with the

highest amount of lipids in this dataset. On the other hand, Shol (*Channa Striata*) has the lowest fat content of the species investigated, with an average value of 0.93%, making it the leanest.

| Fish Name                  | Fat Content (%) (Mean ± SD) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bata ( <i>Labeo bata</i> ) | 2.20 ± 0.19                 |
| Boal (Wallago attu)        | $1.76 \pm 0.14$             |
| Chanda (Chanda nama)       | $0.99 \pm 0.09$             |
| Kal baus (Labeo calbasu)   | 4.01 ± 0.26                 |
| Shol (Channa striata)      | 0.93 ± 0.18                 |

#### Table 2. Fat content (%) of the selected fish samples.

**Fatty Acid Profile:** Table 3 summarizes the fatty acid profiles of each sample. The amount is expressed as percent (%)of extracted fat. About 32 fatty acids were identified, which cover almost 89.7%-98.5% of the extracted fat. On average, almost

40% of the extracted fats consist of saturated fatty acids, while almost 40% of the MUFAs and 25% of the PUFAs were identified. Overall, the majority of the species

|                                | Fatty     | Bata              | Boal             | Chanda            | Shol         | Kal baus     |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Fatty Acid Name                | Acid      | (Labeo            | (Wallago         | (Chanda           | (Channa      | (Labeo       |
|                                | Structure | bata)             | attu)            | nama)             | striata)     | calbasu)     |
|                                |           | (Mean±SD)         | (Mean±SD)        | (Mean±SD)         | (Mean±SD)    | (Mean±SD)    |
|                                |           | Satur             | rated Fatty Acid | (SFA)             | ·            |              |
| Lauric Acid                    | C12:0     | $0.15 \pm 0.01$   | 0.39±0.017       | 0.097±0.021       | 0.17±0.01    | 0.153±0.021  |
| Tridecylic acid                | C13:0     | 0.237±0.021       | 0.077±0.006      | 0.1±0.01          | 0.097±0.021  | 0            |
| Myristic Acid                  | C14:0     | 3.997±0.134       | 3.317±0.137      | 2.193±0.065       | 1.647±0.185  | 1.21±0.095   |
| Pentadecanoic<br>Acid          | C15:0     | 2.633±0.055       | 0.767±0.035      | 1.05±0.07         | 1.023±0.091  | 0.333±0.038  |
| Palmitic Acid                  | C16:0     | 26.11±0.173       | 27.197±0.358     | 26.35±0.606       | 24.403±0.645 | 23.193±0.263 |
| Heptadecanoic<br>Acid          | C17:0     | 1.453±0.084       | 1.59±0.026       | 1.197±0.012       | 1.417±0.18   | 0.467±0.058  |
| Stearic Acid                   | C18:0     | 4.697±0.225       | 8.497±0.095      | 7.977±0.604       | 8.52±0.526   | 5.36±0.061   |
| Arachidic Acid                 | C20:0     | 3.603±0.105       | 0.13±0.017       | $0.297 \pm 0.108$ | 0.573±0.012  | 0.123±0.006  |
| Henicosanoic<br>Acid           | C21:0     | 0.363±0.012       | 0.553±0.006      | 0.553±0.115       | 0.767±0.125  | 0.763±0.015  |
| Behnic Acid                    | C22:0     | 1.237±0.025       | 0.65±0.026       | 0.357±0.072       | 0.16±0.03    | 0.21±0.036   |
| Lignoceric Acid                | C24:0     | 0                 | 0                | 0                 | 0            | 0            |
| Total SFA                      | Total SFA |                   | 43.168±0.723     | 40.171±0.108      | 40.114±0.015 | 38.777±1.825 |
|                                |           | Monounsa          | turated Fatty A  | cid (MUFA)        | ·            |              |
| Myristoleic Acid               | C14:1     | 0                 | 0.113±0.006      | 0                 | 0            | 0            |
| Trans Myristoleic<br>Acid      | C14:1 t   | 0.717±0.09        | 0.68±0.026       | 0.48±0.113        | 0.633±0.225  | 0.213±0.021  |
| Pentadecenoic<br>Acid          | C15:1     | 0.417±0.029       | 0.29±0.017       | 0.253±0.015       | 0.44±0.114   | 0.11±0.017   |
| Palmitoleic Acid               | C16:1     | $0.32 \pm 0.035$  | 0.51±0.03        | $0.427 \pm 0.206$ | 0.46±0.026   | 0.52±0       |
| Trans Palmitoleic<br>Acid      | C16:1 t   | 5.99±0.33         | 6.853±0.169      | 5.71±0.382        | 4.447±0.411  | 2.583±0.023  |
| Heptadecenoic<br>Acid          | C17:1     | 0.667±0.012       | 0.303±0.015      | 0.1±0             | 0            | 0.137±0.006  |
| Trans<br>Heptadecenoic<br>Acid | C17:1 t   | 1.153±0.021       | 0.79±0.017       | 0.763±0.015       | 0.943±0.14   | 0.33±0.035   |
| Elaidic Acid                   | C18:1 t9  | $0.283 \pm 0.006$ | 0.13±0.044       | 0.15±0            | 0.16±0.01    | 0            |
| Oleic Acid                     | C18:1 c9  | 8.21±0.199        | 18.09±0.685      | 13.697±0.169      | 19.17±0.244  | 31.28±0.51   |

 Table 3. Fatty acid profile of the selected fish samples.

| Vaccenic Acid    | C18:1           | 1.953±0.042       | 2.37±0.244        | 2.063±0.193       | 3.327±0.276      | 0.71±0.052        |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                  | c11             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Eicosenoic Acid  | C20:1           | 0.8±0.01          | 0.587±0.015       | 0.237±0.006       | 0.673±0.15       | 2.69±0.26         |
| Nervonic Acid    | C24:1           | 0                 | 0.07±0            | 0.133±0.031       | 0.037±0.064      | 0.103±0.006       |
| Total MUFA       |                 | 20.51±0.774       | 30.786±1.268      | 24.013±1.13       | 28.292±0.588     | 30.29±1.66        |
|                  |                 | Polyunsat         | turated Fatty Ac  | id (PUFA)         |                  |                   |
| Linoleic Acid    | C18:2,          | 3.053±0.308       | 3.543±0.031       | 3.7±0.01          | 5.12±0.159       | 15.817±0.595      |
|                  | ω-6 LA          |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Linolenic Acid   | C18:3,          | 9.113±0.471       | 3.763±0.142       | $2.06 \pm 0.341$  | 1.937±0.522      | 2.88±0.036        |
|                  | ω-3 ALA         |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Eicosadienoic    | C20:2,          | $0.483 \pm 0.021$ | $0.267 \pm 0.006$ | 0.2±0.211         | 0.373±0.205      | 0.333±0.012       |
| Acid             | ω-6             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Eicosatrienoic   | C20:3,          | $0.84 \pm 0.026$  | 0.967±0.025       | 0.61±0.137        | 0.957±0.071      | 0.863±0.023       |
| Acid             | ω-6             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Arachidonic Acid | C20:4,          | 2.003±0.194       | 2.01±0.052        | 6.727±0.652       | 5.343±0.145      | 0.777±0.153       |
|                  | ω-6             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Eicosapentaenoic | C20:5,          | $4.28 \pm 0.204$  | $1.62 \pm 0.017$  | 2.86±0.131        | 0.467±0.157      | $3.107 \pm 0.058$ |
| Acid             | <b>ω-6, EPA</b> |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Docosadienoic    | C22:2,          | $0.163 \pm 0.006$ | $0.123 \pm 0.006$ | $0.11 \pm 0.01$   | 0.197±0.133      | $0.17 \pm 0.01$   |
| Acid             | ω-6             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Docosapentaenoic | C22:5,          | 2.603±0.169       | $2.46 \pm 0.04$   | 3.317±0.254       | $1.65 \pm 0.226$ | $0.307 \pm 0.032$ |
| Acid             | ω-3             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Docosahexaenoic  | C22:6,          | 4.723±0.319       | 4.473±0.032       | $7.753 \pm 0.205$ | 4.623±0.081      | $1.603 \pm 0.27$  |
| Acid             | ω-3,            |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
|                  | DHA             |                   |                   |                   |                  |                   |
| Total PUFA       |                 | 27.261±1.718      | 19.226±0.351      | 27.337±1.951      | 23.875±0.771     | 20.667±1.699      |

examined (80%), had a greater amount of SFAs compared to MUFAs and PUFAs. The only exception from the trend was observed in the percentage of L. calbasu, which exhibited a different sequence with higher levels of MUFAs followed by SFAs and then PUFAs. Among all the identified results, Wallago attu (27.197±0.358%) had the highest proportions of palmitic acid, while Labeo calbasu (23.193±0.263) had the lowest quantities. The fatty acid stearic acid (C18:0) was found to be the second most prevalent in all of the fish samples, with concentrations ranging from 5.36±0.06% to 8.52±0.526%. The ratio of MUFAs in the overall lipids of the samples exhibited significant variation throughout a broad spectrum (Table 5-6). L. calbasu had around 38.676±0.93% of MUFAs, while L. bata had a comparatively low amount of MUFAs at 20.51±0.77%. The predominant MUFA is Oleic acid (C18:1  $\omega$ -9), which ranged from 8.21±0.2% in L. bata to 31.28±0.51% in L. calbasu. Palmitoleic acid (C16:1  $\omega$ -7) ranged from 2.58 $\pm$ 0.02% in L. calbasu to 5.71±0.382% in Chanda nama. The concentration of other fatty acids in this category was typically less than 1%. The proportion of PUFAs found in the fish species ranged from  $19.23\pm0.35\%$  in *W. attu* to  $27.34\pm1.95\%$  in *C. nama* (Table 5-6). Among the detected 9 PUFAs, the predominant fatty acids were linoleic acid (C18:2  $\omega$ -6, 2.88% in *L. calbasu* to 5.12% in *C. striata*), linolenic acid (C18:3  $\omega$ -3, 1.94% in *C. striata* to 9.11% in *L.bata*), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 0.47% in *C. striata* to 4.28% in *L. bata*), docosapentaenoic acid (DPA, C22:5  $\omega$ -3, 0.31% in *L. calbasu* to 3.31% in *C. nama*), and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 1.61% in *L. calbasu* to 7.75% in *C. nama*)

ω-6 and ω-3 ratio: Analysis of ω-6/ω-3 ratios in several fish species revealed significant variability in fatty acid makeup. *Labeo bata* had a favorable ω-6/ω-3 ratio of 0.51, indicating a good ratio of omega-3 to omega-6 fatty acids. *Wallago attu* came next, exhibiting a positive balance with a ratio of 0.95. Despite being higher, *Chanda Nama* had ratio of 1.16 indicated a very balanced profile. With a ratio of 1.95, *Channa striata* showed a considerable amount of omega-3 fatty acids. Ultimately, the ω-6/ω-3 ratio of 2.40 was greatest in *Labeo calbasu*, indicating a greater percentage of omega-6 fatty acids.

| <b>Table 4.</b> $\omega$ -6 and $\omega$ -3 i | ratio among the fish | samples. |
|---|----------------------|----------|
|---|----------------------|----------|

| Name of the Sample       | ω-6 / ω-3 |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Bata (Labeo bata)        | 0.51      |
| Boal (Wallago attu)      | 0.95      |
| Chanda (Chanda nama)     | 1.16      |
| Kal baus (Labeo calbasu) | 2.40      |
| Shol (Channa striata)    | 1.95      |

**Trans Fatty Acids Content:** The species *L. bata* had the highest trans-fatty acid content. 1.27 percent of the extracted fat was composed of trans-fatty acid. *W. attu* had the second highest amount (1.056%). The remainder had almost the same

amount of trans-fatty acid content. It ranged from 0.62 to 0.677 percent of extracted fat. Figure 1 depicts the proportion of trans-fatty acid concentration in the fat recovered from the samples.



Figure 1. Trans Fatty Acids Content of the fish samples.

Based on raw sample, the trans-fatty acid content of *W. attu* and *C. striata* is negligible and the amount are 0.007 and 0.006 gram per 100 grams of sample respectively. On the other hand, *L. bata* and *L. calbasu* had almost same amount of trans-fatty acids content (0.027 and 0.026 g per 100 g samples). *W. attu* has a moderate level of trans fatty acids content in compare to the others.

| Name of the Sample       | Trans fatty acids content<br>(g per 100 g sample) |
|--------------------------|---|
| Bata (Labeo bata)        | $0.027 \pm 0.001$                                 |
| Boal (Wallago attu)      | $0.019 \pm 0.002$                                 |
| Chanda (Chanda nama)     | $0.007 \pm 0.002$                                 |
| Kal baus (Labeo calbasu) | $0.026\pm0.00$                                    |
| Shol (Channa striata)    | $0.006\pm0.00$                                    |

Table 5. Trans fatty acids content (g per 100 g sample) of the fish samples.

**Essential Fatty Acids:** The extracted fat from *L. calbasu* showed the greatest level of linoleic acid ( $15.817\% \pm 0.595\%$ ). Compared to *L. calbasu*, the other species showed comparatively low LA contents (3.54%-5.12%). *L. bata* has the highest alpha-linoleic acid (ALA) content rating among the

species. It had  $9.113\% \pm 0.471\%$  ALA content of the extracted fat. The ALA content range for the other samples was (1.92%-3.54%). Figure 2 compares the LA and ALA content of the extracted fat from various sources.



Figure 2. Essential Fatty Acid Content of the fish samples.

**EPA and DHA:** *L. bata* had the highest amount of EPA (4.28%  $\pm$  0.204%) content, whereas *W. attu* contained the highest percentage of DHA (7.753%  $\pm$  0.205%). *L. bata, W. attu*, and *C. striata* had similar DHA content. However, *W. attu* had the highest DHA content, it also had the lowest EPA content

 $(1.62\% \pm 0.017\%)$ . Among the species, *L. calbasu* had the lowest rank in DHA content  $(1.603\% \pm 0.27\%)$ . Table 8 compares the EPA and DHA levels of the extracted fats from various sources.

| Sample Name              | EPA (%)        | DHA (%)     |  |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| Sample Name              | (Mean ± SD)    | (Mean ± SD) |  |
| Bata (Labeo bata)        | 4.28±0.204     | 4.723±0.319 |  |
| Boal (Wallago attu)      | $1.62\pm0.017$ | 4.473±0.032 |  |
| Chanda (Chanda nama)     | 2.86±0.131     | 7.753±0.205 |  |
| Kal baus (Labeo calbasu) | 3.107±0.058    | 1.603±0.27  |  |
| Shol (Channa striata)    | 0.467±0.157    | 4.623±0.081 |  |

## **Nutritional Lipid Quality Indices**

In order to thoroughly assess the nutritional value and any health effects of the fish lipids, various nutritional indices were computed using the fatty acid profiles. The Desirable Fatty Acids index represents the combined amount of unsaturated fatty acids and stearic acid, and the fish exhibited a range of 52-70% acceptable fatty acids. *L. calbasu* has the highest amount of desirable fatty acid (69.89%), and *Labeo bata* has the lowest value (52.57%). The Hypercholesterolemic The Fatty Acids Index quantifies the quantity of fatty acids, such as lauric, myristic, and palmitic acids, that have the potential to raise cholesterol. Smaller values are favoured, and the fishes had a range of 24.6-30.9%. *L. calbasu* had the most favoured value (24.556%), whereas *L. bata* and *W. attu* had the two highest

values of OFA (30.25% and 30.90%, respectively). The Atherogenicity Index measures the capacity of the acids to facilitate the accumulation of fatty plaque deposits in arteries. Desirable values are lower, and the samples had a range of 0.44-0.88. *L. bata* had the highest AI value (0.884), and *Labeo calbasu* had the lowest and most favoured value (0.437). The Thrombogenicity Index is a measure of the propensity to develop insoluble blood clots, which is assessed by analysing the ratios of acids. Once again, it is preferable to have lower values, and the fish had a range of 0.43-0.61. L. bata had the lowest TI value of 0.43. The *Labeo calbasu* had the highest H/H ratio of 2.035, *L. bata* had the lowest ratio of 0.679, and the other samples had a range of 0.69-1.

| Sample Name                | <b>DFA (%)</b> | <b>OFA (%)</b> | AI    | TI    | H/H   |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Bata ( <i>Labeo bata</i> ) | 52.468         | 30.257         | 0.884 | 0.425 | 0.690 |
| Boal (Wallago attu)        | 55.372         | 30.904         | 0.817 | 0.610 | 0.822 |
| Chanda (Chanda nama)       | 59.327         | 28.64          | 0.686 | 0.492 | 0.679 |
| Kal baus (Labeo calbasu)   | 69.893         | 24.556         | 0.437 | 0.475 | 2.035 |
| Shol (Channa striata)      | 59.477         | 26.22          | 0.612 | 0.602 | 1.000 |

| Table 7  | Nutritional | Quality | Indices | of Extracted Fat. |
|----------|-------------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| Table 7. | Nuunuonai   | Quanty  | multus  | Of LAnacicu Fat.  |

## Conclusion

This research provides useful information on the fatty acid profiles and nutritional value of lipids extracted from five regularly eaten fish species: *Labeo bata*, *Wallago attu*, *Chanda nama*, *Labeo calbasu*, and *Channa striata*. These fish species are frequently available in the local markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh. The fat content analysis revealed that the majority of the fish samples were classed as lean or low-fat, with the exception of *Labeo calbasu*, which had a considerable level of fat. In terms of fatty acid composition, saturated fatty acids were found to be the most common, followed by monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids in most species. Palmitic acid was the main saturated fatty acid, whereas oleic acid was the most common monounsaturated fatty acid.

Upon evaluating the nutritional indices, the study discovered that Labeo bata and Wallago attu had a more advantageous omega-6 to omega-3 ratio in comparison to the other fish. Chanda nama, Labeo calbasu, and Channa striata have significant amounts of EPA and DHA. Furthermore, when considering the atherogenicity, thrombogenicity, and hypocholesterolemic/hypercholesterolemic indices, it was shown that Labeo calbasu had the most nutritionally favorable lipid profile compared to the other fish species examined. In summary, the results of this study will help to improve the Food Composition Table of Bangladesh and can assist consumers in making educated decisions about the nutritional advantages of consuming locally sourced fish. Subsequent research should examine a more extensive array of fish species and analyse the impact of variables such as habitat, seasonality, and processing techniques on their fatty acid compositions and nutritional worth.

## Acknowledgement

The project was funded by Bangladesh University Grants Commission. We would like to express immense gratitude towards University of Dhaka for selecting our research project for providing us with the funds.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there was no conflict of interest.

## **References**

Acharya, P., D. P. Muduli, M. Das and A. Mahanty (2022). "Fatty Acid, Proximate Composition and Mineral Content of Tenualosa sp. from East Coast of India." Food Chemistry Advances 1: 100121. AOAC, H., William, Latimer, George W (2005). "Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Edition, AOAC International, Suite 500, 481 North Frederick Avenue." AOAC: 20877-22417.

Bogard, J. R., S. H. Thilsted, G. C. Marks, M. A. Wahab, M. A. R. Hossain, J. Jakobsen and J. Stangoulis (2015). "Nutrient composition of important fish species in Bangladesh and potential contribution to recommended nutrient intakes." Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 42: 120-133.

DOF (2022). Annual Report 2021-22.

FAO, F. a. A. O.-. (2022). "THE STATE OF WORLD FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE 2022." Retrieved 15-04-2024, 2024, from

https://www.fao.org/3/cc0461en/online/sofia/2022.

Folch, L. M. a. S.-S., G.H (1957). "A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues.". J. Biol. Chem 226.

Grigorakis, K., M. Alexis, K. D. A. Taylor and M. Hole (2002). "Comparison of wild and cultured gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata); Composition, appearance and seasonal variations." International Journal of Food Science & Technology 37: 477-484.

Harlıoğlu, A. G. (2012). "Fatty acid composition, fat soluble vitamins and cholesterol content of farmed rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)." Pakistan Journal of Zoology 44: 1013-1019.

Harris, W. S. (1989). "Fish oils and plasma lipid and lipoprotein metabolism in humans: a critical review." J Lipid Res 30(6): 785-807.

Hashimoto, M., M. Katakura, Y. Tanabe, A. Al Mamun, T. Inoue, S. Hossain, M. Arita and O. Shido (2015). "n-3 fatty acids effectively improve the reference memory-related learning ability associated with increased brain docosahexaenoic acid-derived docosanoids in aged rats." Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids 1851(2): 203-209.

Islam, M. M., M. M. Shamsuzzaman, M. M. H. Mozumder, X. Xiangmin, Y. Ming and M. A. S. Jewel (2017). "Exploitation and conservation of coastal and marine fisheries in Bangladesh: Do the fishery laws matter?" Marine Policy 76: 143-151.

Islam, R., M. Shoeb, M. Islam, M. Islam, Shoeb and N. Nahar (2018). "Fatty Acid Compositions in Marine Fish Samples of Bangladesh." 723.

Jatoi, A. (2005). "Fish oil, lean tissue, and cancer: is there a role for eicosapentaenoic acid in treating the cancer anorexia/weight loss syndrome?" Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology 55(1): 37-43.

Mamun, A., N. Afrooz and S. Nasrin (2024). The Economy of the Fisheries Industry in Bangladesh.

Manirujjaman, M., M. M. H. Khan, M. Uddin, M. M. Islam, M. Rahman, M.Khatun, M. S. Biswas and M. A. Islam (2014).

"Comparison of Different Nutritional Parameters and Oil Properties of Two Fish Species (Catla catla and Cirrhinus cirrhosus) from Wild and Farmed Sources Found in Bangladesh." Journal of food and nutrition research 2: 47-50.

Shaheen, N., A. Rahim, M. Mohiduzzaman, C. Banue, L. Bari, A. Basak Tukun, M. A. Mannan, L. Bhattacharjee and B. Stadlmayr (2013). Food Composition Table for Bangladesh.

Støttrup, J. G., C. Jacobsen, J. Tomkiewicz and J. H (2012). "Modification of essential fatty acid composition in broodstock of cultured European eel Anguilla anguilla L." Aquaculture Nutrition 19.